

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RAUL GONZALES,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:21-cv-02055-GMN-DJA

**ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 48)**

In this habeas corpus action, the respondents filed their answer (ECF No. 42) on January 11, 2023. Then, after an initial period of 45 days, an 88-day extension, and a 61-day extension, Petitioner Raul Gonzales, represented by appointed counsel, was due to file his reply to Respondents' answer by July 26, 2023. See Scheduling Order (ECF No. 20) (45 days for reply); Order entered February 24, 2023 (ECF No. 44) (88-day extension); Order entered May 24, 2023 (ECF No. 46) (61-day extension).

On July 25, 2023, Gonzales filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 48), requesting a further 61-day extension of time for his reply—to September 25, 2023. Gonzales's counsel states that she needs the extension of time because of her obligations in other cases and personal health issues. Gonzales's counsel represents that Respondents do not object to the extension of time. The Court finds that Gonzales's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested.

///

///


///

///

///

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time
2 (ECF No. 48) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **September 25,**
3 **2023**, to file his reply to Respondents' answer.

4
5 DATED THIS 26 day of July, 2023.

6
7 
8 _____
9 GLORIA M. NAVARRO
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28